



On March 10, 2005 the attached email was sent by the National Kitchen & Bath Headquarters in New Jersey. In this document, Edward Nagorsky, now General Counsel and Director of Legislative Affairs, states that if the language in Section 2807, subsection (2), exemption (E) of now HB4772 is written per his specification, it "would be acceptable to NKBA".

The language was inserted verbatim per Mr. Nagorsky's recommendation:

(E) A PERSON PROVIDING INTERIOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR
21 RESIDENTIAL PURPOSES, SO LONG AS HE OR SHE DOES NOT HOLD HIMSELF
22 OR HERSELF OUT AS AN INTERIOR DESIGNER.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill McKay [mailto:BMcKay@starlinekg.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2005 12:46 PM

To: 'Linda Thomas'

Subject: FW: NKBA Michigan Legislation

Linda:

Here is the revision (attached) as proposed by NKBA. Please pass this along to see what the general feelings are. As this is in the "exemptions" column I'm not sure it would be an objection to the architects. It would allow a kitchen designer to work on a kitchen in any sized home – as long as we don't refer to ourselves as Interior Designers.

Thanks for your help!

Bill

W. J. McKay, CKD

Starline Kitchen & Bath Gallery

From: Ed Nagorsky [mailto:enag@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2005 12:13 PM

To: Bill McKay

Cc: Larry Spangler; Liliana Corman; Nicole Ehle; Jeff Cannata

Subject: Re: NKBA Michigan Legislation

Bill: Sorry for the delay in sending this to you, but I need an approval from National and I was tied up in meetings all morning.

Attached please find Section 2807, subsection (2) which I have revised with language that would be acceptable to NKBA. As you will note, the attached is a red-lined copy showing specifically the changes that were made. I believe that it is rather straightforward and is in accordance with the discussions we have had over the past few days.

I you are able, kindly forward the attached language to the CIDR Board for their review and advise if it is in agreement with amending the proposed legislation in conformity with this document. Of course, let us know if there is any objection or hesitation on their part so that the National Office will no how to proceed. Also, as you can imagine, time is of the essence here so a prompt response from CIDR as to the acceptability of this language and how it intends to present this to the Representatives will be most helpful.

Thanks again for all of your efforts on behalf of the Association...I know that you are in a tough spot.

Ed

Edward S. Nagorsky, Esq. <enag@comcast.net>

Courter, Kobert & Cohen

1001 Route 517

Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840

Tel.: 908-852-2600

Fax: 908-852-8225

MICHIGAN INTERIOR DESIGN BILL – EXEMPTION PROVISION:

(2) The following are exempt from licensure under this article:

(a) A person licensed under another regulated or licensed occupation or profession who is engaging in interior design services that are within the scope of practice of that person's occupation or profession so long as he or she does not hold himself or herself out as an interior designer.

(b) An employee of a retail establishment providing interior design services on the premises of a retail establishment or in the furtherance of a retail sale, so long as he or she does not advertise, or represent himself or herself, as an interior designer.

(c) A person engaging in interior design services on property owned or leased by that person so long as he or she does not hold himself or herself out as an interior designer.

(d) A person engaging in interior design services without compensation on property of another person so long as he or she does not hold himself or herself out as an interior designer.

(e) A person providing interior design services in a detached 1- or 2-family residence, ~~which residence does not exceed 3,500 square feet of calculated floor area~~, so long as he or she does not hold himself or herself out as an interior designer. ~~As used in this subdivision, "calculated floor area" means that term as defined in section 2012.~~

(f) An individual engaging in interior design services without a license but under the supervision of 1 or more licensees solely for the purpose of obtaining the experience required under section 2809(3) or (4).



In some states training to become an architect is still viewed as an apprenticeship. In those states architects are permitted to apply for registration with no post secondary education accepting experience as an alternative means of satisfying the education requirement. If an applicant has only a high school degree, practical experience of 8 to 12 years is generally required.

Visit the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards website – ncarb.org and click on Registration Requirements.

States that do not Require Post-secondary Education for Architects

1. Arizona
2. California
3. Colorado
4. Georgia
5. Hawaii
6. Idaho
7. Illinois
8. Maryland
9. Missouri
10. New Hampshire
11. New York
12. Vermont
13. Washington
14. Wisconsin